

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,057 10/19/2001		10/19/2001	Craig M. Janik	5532.P020	8593	
34018	7590	09/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		AURIG, LLP	ORGAD	ORGAD, EDAN		
77 WEST SUITE 25	WACKER 00	RDRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO	O, IL 606	501-1732	2684			
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

ď

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/052,057	JANIK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Edan Orgad	2684		

Potore the Filing of an Annual Priof									
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Edan Orgad	2684							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.							
. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since an experience. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS		·	•						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belowing and the put/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		Jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-41, 46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a find sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's argument that Lee fails to disclose downloading of digital media from a computer system to an automotive playback device where the digital content is first downloaded by the computer system from a wide area network based on user preferences input into the computer system. Applicant further notes, Lee's- col. 14, lines 25+ as failing to disclose digital content is downloaded. However, examiner respectfully disagrees, Lee specifically teaches in col. 6, lines 24+, that remote programmable devices 40, such as a computer connected to the Internet 60, are used to download information from the Internet gateway network 30 to the multimedia device 20 in the vehicle 184. From a remote device 40, a user can customize the way audio broadcasts and personal information service channels are organized in the vehicle's multimedia device 20, can request new personal information services be downloaded from the Internet gateway 30 to the multimedia device 20, and can retrieve information from the gateway 30 that has stored there from the vehicle 184; The user can also access his custom profile and billing information records. Specifically, downloading digital content, such as broadcast and personal information (digital content and user personal preferences) are specifically downloaded into the computer system. Although applicant's cited passage of Lee- col. 14, lines 25+ does not specifically disclose downloading from the computer system to an automotive storage device, it is because col. 14, lines 25+ describe the web configuration and not the vehicle multimedia receiver. Therefore, examiner respectfully disagrees and believes Lee discloses digital content is downloaded to a computer system from a wide area network based on user preferences input into the computer system and then downloaded from the computer system to an automotive storage device. Any comments and or questions should be directed to examiner of record @ 571-272-7884.

> EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

2